

## **Criteria for Evaluation of Public Collection and Alternatives**

This report discusses public collection, as well as several alternatives that have been proposed. Several criteria have been developed for the analysis. For each criterion a numerical scale has been developed. These are:

- f. To what extent does the option support the goals?
  - 1 – Strongly supports the goals and resolves almost all of the problem
  - 2 – Supports most of the goals; resolves most parts of the problem
  - 3 – Supports some of the goals; does not fully resolve some problems
  - 4 – Slightly supports the goals; leaves several parts of the problem unresolved
  
- g. Ease of administration and cost of administration;
  - 1 – Easy to administer with no additional cost over the present
  - 2 – Somewhat more difficult to administer, with slightly higher administration costs over the present
  - 3 – Difficult to administer, with moderately increased administration costs over the present
  - 4 – Very difficult to administer, with significant additional administration costs over the present
  
- h. Ease of assuring compliance;
  - 1 – Easy to assure compliance with regulations and contract requirements
  - 2 – Somewhat difficult to assure compliance with regulations and contract requirements
  - 3 – Difficult to assure compliance with regulations and contract requirements
  - 4 – Very difficult to assure compliance with regulations and contract requirements
  
- i. Ease of explaining to the public;
  - 1 – Very clear and understandable
  - 2 – Somewhat unclear
  - 3 – Complex, but can be explained
  - 4 – Complex and difficult to easily explain
  
- j. Public acceptance.
  - 1 – Strongly supported by the public
  - 2 – Mixed reaction, generally favorable
  - 3 – Mixed reaction, generally unfavorable
  - 4 – Not at all supported by the public